

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/590,912		HEINRICH ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Celia Chang		1625	

All Participants:

(1) Celia Chang.

(2) Csaba Henter.

Date of Interview: 1 July 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

1, 5, 8

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: _____

/Celia Chang/
Primary Examiner, AU 1625

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The linker Q, delete O, OH, NH, NH₂, NR₂R₃ since the 132 provided compounds Q being substituted methylene. The method claims will be corrected of 112 issues by deleted "a neurological disorder" "a memory disorder" "an eating disorder" "a sleeping disorder" "or" and "or a method for the prophylaxis or for combating the consequence of cerebral infarction phenomena, stroke or a method for positively influencing" and insert the term or after premenstrual syndrome..